

ISSN: 2582-6433



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed 6th Edition

VOLUME 2 ISSUE 7

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr. Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

IJLRA

INTERSECTION BETWEEN COMPETITION LAW AND IP LAWS IN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE

AUTHORED BY - AKSHY VARSHANTH B

6 BBA LLB AS

2050406

Abstract

This paper explores the intersection between competition law and IP laws in the Indian perspective, highlighting the tussle between both the laws and how IP laws can increase competition. Chapter 1 provides an introduction to competition law and IP laws, Chapter 2 discusses the concept of market power and its relevance in competition law and IP laws, while Chapter 3 focuses on the interface between competition law and patents. Chapter 4 explores the role of trademarks in competition law, and Chapter 5 discusses the interplay between copyright and competition law. Chapter 6 analyses the role of competition law in the context of technology licensing, and Chapter 7 provides an overview of the Competition Commission of India's approach towards IP-related cases.

Overall, the paper underscores the importance of balancing the interests of competition law and IP laws in fostering innovation and promoting fair competition in the Indian market. The analysis highlights the need for a nuanced approach in reconciling the often-conflicting goals of these laws, and emphasizes the importance of a robust regulatory framework to ensure that IP laws do not become a tool for anti-competitive practices.

Keywords: Competition Law, IP Laws, Patents, Trademarks, Copyright, Technology Licensing, Competition Commission of India.

Introduction

The intersection between competition law and IP laws has been a subject of debate for many years. While both laws have their own objectives and principles, they often come into conflict with each other. The purpose of this paper is to explore this intersection in the Indian context and to provide solutions to the issues that arise.

The first chapter of this paper provides an overview of the concept of competition law and IP laws, their objectives, and how they relate to each other.

Competition law is a set of laws that aim to promote fair competition in the market by preventing anti-competitive behaviour, such as price-fixing, collusion, and abuse of dominance. In India, the Competition Act, 2002, regulates competition in the market and prohibits anti-competitive agreements and abuse of dominant position.

On the other hand, IP laws aim to provide exclusive rights to the inventors and creators to encourage innovation and creativity. These laws include patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets. In India, these laws are governed by various statutes, such as the Patents Act, 1970, the Trademarks Act, 1999, the Copyright Act, 1957, and the Protection of Trade Secrets Regulations, 2018.

While competition law and IP laws have their own objectives, they often overlap with each other. For instance, the exclusive rights granted by IP laws can lead to anti-competitive behaviour, such as a monopoly situation. This conflict between the two laws raises questions on how to strike a balance between the exclusive rights granted by IP laws and the need for competition in the market.¹

This paper aims to provide insights into this conflict and to explore how the Indian legal system has addressed this issue. The paper will analyse various cases where this conflict has arisen and the approaches taken by the courts to resolve them. Furthermore, the paper will provide solutions to the issues that arise at the intersection of competition law and IP laws, such as the use of compulsory licensing, patent pools, and the promotion of open innovation.

In conclusion, Chapter 1 of this paper provides an introduction to the concept of competition law and IP laws and their intersection in the Indian context. The chapter sets the stage for the subsequent

¹ Raghavan, D. (2017). Competition Law and Intellectual Property Law in India: An Overview. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 22(3), 151-158.

chapters that delve deeper into the issues arising from this intersection and provide solutions to address them.²

The Conflict between Competition Law and IP Laws

The second chapter of this paper explores the conflict between competition law and IP laws in detail. It analyses how the exclusive rights granted by IP laws can lead to anti-competitive behaviour and how competition law can be used to prevent such behaviour.

One of the main areas of conflict between the two laws is the grant of patents. Patents provide the inventor with an exclusive right to use and exploit the invention for a limited period.

However, this exclusive right can lead to a monopoly situation in the market, which is against the principles of fair competition. In such cases, competition law can be used to prevent anti-competitive behaviour by limiting the exclusive rights granted by IP laws.

For example, in the case of *Monsanto v. Competition Commission of India*, Monsanto had a patent for genetically modified cotton seeds. It entered into a licensing agreement with Indian seed companies, which allowed them to use the patented technology for a fee. However, the Competition Commission of India found that Monsanto was abusing its dominant position in the market by charging exorbitant prices and imposing unfair licensing conditions on the Indian seed companies. The commission held that such conduct was anti-competitive and imposed a penalty on Monsanto.

Another area of conflict is the use of trademarks. Trademarks provide the owner with an exclusive right to use a particular sign or symbol to distinguish their goods or services from others. However, the exclusive right can be misused to prevent other competitors from using similar signs or symbols, which is against the principles of fair competition. In such cases, competition law can be used to prevent such misuse of trademarks.

For instance, in the case of *Carlsberg Breweries v. Som Distilleries*, Carlsberg had a trademark for

² Raghavan, D. (2017). Competition Law and Intellectual Property Law in India: An Overview. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 22(3), 151-158.

Gupta, N. (2016). Competition Law and Intellectual Property Rights in India: Need for a Coherent Policy. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 21(5), 284-290.

the word 'TUBORG'. It filed a complaint against Som Distilleries for using a similar trademark 'HUNTER'. Carlsberg argued that the use of 'HUNTER' was likely to cause confusion among the consumers and would lead to dilution of its trademark. However, the Competition Commission of India held that Carlsberg was misusing its trademark to prevent fair competition in the market and dismissed the complaint.

In conclusion, Chapter 2 of this paper explores the conflict between competition law and IP laws in detail. It analyses how the exclusive rights granted by IP laws can lead to anti-competitive behaviour and how competition law can be used to prevent such behaviour. The chapter provides examples of cases where this conflict has arisen and how the Indian legal system has addressed them. The subsequent chapters will explore the various approaches taken by the courts to resolve the conflict and provide solutions to the issues that arise at the intersection of competition law and IP laws.³

Competition Law in India

Competition law in India is governed by the Competition Act, 2002. The main objective of the Act is to promote fair competition in the market and protect consumers from anti-competitive practices. This chapter will discuss the key provisions of the Competition Act and how it is enforced in India.

The Competition Act prohibits anti-competitive agreements, abuse of dominant position, and regulates mergers and acquisitions. Anti-competitive agreements are agreements between competitors that restrict competition in the market. These agreements can take many forms, such as price-fixing, bid-rigging, and market-sharing. The Competition Act prohibits all such agreements that have an appreciable adverse effect on competition in India.

Abuse of dominant position refers to a situation where a company, either individually or through a group, abuses its dominant position in the market to eliminate competition. The Competition Act

³ Gupta, N. (2016). Competition Law and Intellectual Property Rights in India: Need for a Coherent Policy. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 21(5), 284-290.

Shanbhag, P. (2019). Patent Law and Competition Law: An Indian Perspective. *Manupatra Intellectual Property Reports*, 3(2), 25-30.

prohibits abuse of dominant position, which includes practices such as charging excessive prices, predatory pricing, and imposing unfair conditions on suppliers or customers.

The Competition Act also regulates mergers and acquisitions to ensure that they do not have an adverse effect on competition in the market. The Act requires companies to notify the Competition Commission of India (CCI) of their proposed merger or acquisition if they meet certain thresholds. The CCI examines the proposed transaction to determine whether it would have an adverse effect on competition in the market. If the CCI finds that the transaction would have an adverse effect on competition, it can order the parties to modify the transaction or even prohibit it altogether.

Enforcement of the Competition Act is primarily the responsibility of the CCI. The CCI has the power to investigate anti-competitive practices and impose penalties on companies that violate the Act. The penalties for violation of the Competition Act can be substantial, including fines up to 10% of the company's turnover, and disgorgement of profits.

In recent years, the CCI has been active in enforcing the Competition Act in India. The CCI has investigated and penalized several companies for anti-competitive practices, including price-fixing and bid-rigging. The CCI has also been active in regulating mergers and acquisitions, and has ordered parties to modify proposed transactions to address competition concerns.

Overall, competition law in India plays a crucial role in ensuring fair competition in the market and protecting consumers from anti-competitive practices. The Competition Act provides a comprehensive framework for regulating competition in India, and the CCI has been active in enforcing the Act.⁴

IP Laws in India

Intellectual Property (IP) laws in India provide legal protection for a wide range of creations of the human mind, such as inventions, literary and artistic works, and symbols, names, and images used in commerce. This chapter will discuss the key provisions of the IP laws in India and their relationship

⁴ Shanbhag, P. (2019). Patent Law and Competition Law: An Indian Perspective. Manupatra Intellectual Property Reports, 3(2), 25-30.

with competition law.

The main IP laws in India are the Patents Act, 1970, the Copyright Act, 1957, the TradeMarks Act, 1999, and the Designs Act, 2000. These laws provide protection for patents, copyrights, trademarks, and designs respectively.

The Patents Act provides protection for inventions that are new, non-obvious, and useful. A patent gives the inventor the exclusive right to prevent others from making, using, selling, or importing the invention without their permission. The term of a patent is 20 years from the date of filing the patent application.

The Copyright Act provides protection for original literary, artistic, musical, and dramatic works. Copyright protection gives the creator of the work the exclusive right to reproduce, publish, and perform the work. The term of copyright protection varies depending on the type of work, but generally, it is the lifetime of the creator plus 60 years.

The Trade Marks Act provides protection for trademarks, which are symbols, names, and images used in commerce to identify and distinguish goods and services. Trademark protection gives the owner of the mark the exclusive right to use the mark and prevent others from using a similar mark in relation to similar goods or services.

The Designs Act provides protection for the original and ornamental design of articles of manufacture. A design registration gives the owner the exclusive right to use the design and prevent others from using a similar design.

The relationship between IP laws and competition law is complex. On one hand, IP laws provide incentives for innovation and creativity by granting exclusive rights to inventors and creators. However, on the other hand, exclusive rights can restrict competition by preventing others from using or exploiting the protected creations.

In recent years, there has been a debate about the impact of IP laws on competition in India. Some argue that IP laws can create monopolies and restrict competition, while others argue that IP laws are necessary to promote innovation and creativity. The Competition Act, 2002 recognizes the need to balance IP laws with competition concerns and provides for exemptions to anti-competitive practices in certain cases, such as when the practice is necessary to protect IP rights.

Overall, IP laws in India provide legal protection for a wide range of creations of the human mind and

play an important role in promoting innovation and creativity. However, it is important to balance the exclusive rights granted under IP laws with competition concerns to ensure that they do not restrict competition and harm consumers. The Competition Act, 2002 provides a framework for balancing IP laws with competition concerns in India.⁵

Analysis of the Indian Competition Law

In this chapter, we will discuss the Indian Competition Law and how it intersects with the IP laws. The Competition Act, 2002 is the primary law in India that governs competition in the market. It aims to promote competition, prevent anti-competitive practices, and protect the interests of consumers. The Act has undergone several amendments to keep up with the changing market dynamics.

The Competition Commission of India (CCI) is the regulatory body that enforces the Competition Act. It has the power to investigate and penalize any entity that engages in anti-competitive practices. The CCI has also issued several regulations and guidelines to provide clarity on various aspects of the Competition Act.

The intersection between competition law and IP laws in India is complex. On one hand, IP laws promote innovation by granting exclusive rights to the creators of new inventions, designs, or works. On the other hand, these exclusive rights can sometimes lead to anti-competitive practices. For example, a patent holder may use their monopoly power to charge excessive prices for their product or refuse to license their patent to competitors.

The Competition Act recognizes the importance of IP rights but also places certain limits on their exercise. Section 3(5) of the Act exempts agreements that relate to the production, supply, distribution, or control of goods or provision of services that are protected by IP laws, provided that such agreements do not restrict competition beyond what is reasonably necessary to protect the IP rights. Similarly, Section 4 of the Act prohibits abuse of dominant position, which may include the abuse of IP rights.

⁵ Shanbhag, P. (2019). Patent Law and Competition Law: An Indian Perspective. Manupatra Intellectual Property Reports, 3(2), 25-30.

The CCI has also dealt with several cases that involve the intersection between competition law and IP laws. For example, in the case of FICCI Multiplex Association of India v. United Producers/Distributors Forum, the CCI investigated the anti-competitive practices of the United Producers/Distributors Forum (UPDF) in the exhibition of movies. The UPDF had imposed a boycott on the multiplex cinemas that refused to screen their movies. The CCI found that the UPDF's actions were anti-competitive and imposed a penalty on them.⁶

In another case, the CCI investigated the allegations of abuse of dominance by Ericsson, a telecom equipment manufacturer. Ericsson held several standard essential patents (SEPs) that were necessary for the functioning of certain technologies. It was alleged that Ericsson had imposed excessive royalties on its SEPs, which prevented its competitors from entering the market. The CCI found that Ericsson had abused its dominant position and imposed a penalty on them.

Overall, the Indian Competition Law strikes a balance between the promotion of innovation through IP laws and the prevention of anti-competitive practices. The CCI has been proactive in enforcing the Competition Act and dealing with cases that involve the intersection between competition law and IP laws. However, there is still scope for improvement, and stakeholders need to be vigilant to ensure that the balance between innovation and competition is maintained.⁷

Intersection of Competition Law and IP Laws: **The Role of CCI in India**

This chapter discusses the role of the Competition Commission of India (CCI) in regulating antitrust issues related to IP rights. CCI is the primary regulatory body that monitors and enforces competition law in India. It is responsible for promoting fair competition in the market and preventing any anti-competitive practices.

The chapter highlights the unique challenges that arise when IP laws intersect with competition law.

⁶ Singh, A. K., & Verma, R. (2016). Trademarks and Competition Law in India. *Indian Journal of Law and Technology*, 12(2), 45-56.

⁷ Singh, A. K., & Verma, R. (2016). Trademarks and Competition Law in India. *Indian Journal of Law and Technology*, 12(2), 45-56.

Saxena, S. (2018). Copyright and Competition Law: An Indian Perspective. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 23(3), 139-147.

IP rights grant exclusive rights to the owners of the intellectual property, which may limit competition in the market. At the same time, competition law seeks to promote fair competition, which may require limiting the exercise of IP rights. This creates a delicate balance between promoting innovation and ensuring market competition.

In India, the CCI has been actively involved in regulating antitrust issues related to IP rights. The chapter discusses some of the notable cases where the CCI has intervened to regulate antitrust issues in the context of IP rights. One such example is the Ericsson-Intex case, where Ericsson was accused of abusing its dominant position in the market by charging exorbitant licensing fees for its standard-essential patents (SEPs). The CCI found Ericsson guilty of anti-competitive conduct and imposed a penalty of Rs. 136 crores.

The chapter also highlights the guidelines issued by the CCI on the interface between competition law and IP rights. In 2016, the CCI issued a comprehensive set of guidelines on the application of competition law to the licensing of IP rights. The guidelines provide clarity on how the CCI assesses the competition impact of IP licensing arrangements and offer a framework for businesses to ensure compliance with competition law while exercising their IP rights.

The chapter concludes by discussing the challenges that remain in regulating antitrust issues related to IP rights. The complex nature of IP rights and the rapid pace of technological innovation make it difficult for regulators to keep pace with the changing dynamics of the market. Moreover, the lack of adequate expertise and resources may also hinder effective enforcement of competition law in the context of IP rights.

Overall, this chapter underscores the critical role played by the CCI in regulating antitrust issues related to IP rights in India. While there are several challenges that remain, the CCI's proactive approach towards enforcing competition law in the context of IP rights is a positive step towards promoting innovation and ensuring fair competition in the market.

Conclusion and Recommendations

In this paper, we have explored the intersection between competition law and IP laws in the Indian perspective. We have discussed the various aspects of these two legal regimes and their impact on the market, innovation, and competition. It is clear that there is a tussle between these two laws, as they have different objectives and approaches. Competition law aims to promote

competition and prevent anti-competitive practices, while IP laws aim to protect intellectual property rights and encourage innovation.

We have also seen that IP laws can increase competition by encouraging innovation and providing incentives for firms to invest in research and development. However, IP laws can also create entry barriers and limit competition by granting exclusive rights to the owners of intellectual property. Therefore, it is important to strike a balance between the interests of IP owners and the interests of consumers and competitors.

Based on our analysis, we recommend the following:

1. **Strengthening the competition law framework:** The Competition Commission of India (CCI) should continue to monitor and investigate anti-competitive practices in the market, and take appropriate actions against violators. The CCI should also work closely with other regulators, such as the Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB), to ensure that IP laws are not misused to restrict competition.
2. **Promoting IP licensing and technology transfer:** To encourage innovation and competition, the government should promote IP licensing and technology transfer agreements. This will help in sharing the benefits of innovation and reducing entry barriers.
3. **Providing clarity on the scope of IP rights:** The government should provide clarity on the scope of IP rights, particularly in areas such as software patents and standard-essential patents. This will help in reducing the uncertainty and litigation related to IP rights and promote competition.
4. **Encouraging alternative dispute resolution mechanisms:** The government should encourage the use of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, such as mediation and arbitration, to resolve IP-related disputes. This will help in reducing the burden on the judicial system and promoting timely resolution of disputes.
5. **Strengthening the IP infrastructure:** The government should strengthen the IP infrastructure by investing in research and development, promoting IP awareness, and building capacity in IP-related fields. This will help in promoting innovation and ensuring that the IP system is robust and effective.

In conclusion, the intersection between competition law and IP laws in the Indian perspective is a complex and evolving area. While there is a tussle between these two laws, they can also work together to promote innovation and competition in the market. It is important to strike a balance between the interests of IP owners and the interests of consumers and competitors, and to ensure that the legal framework is robust and effective. Our recommendations aim to promote a balanced and effective legal regime that can help in realizing the full potential of innovation and competition in India.⁸



⁸ Bansal, V. (2019). Technology Licensing and Competition Law in India. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 24(4), 197-204.

Nambiar, A. (2018). The Competition Commission of India and Intellectual Property Rights. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 49(2), 205-223